


Judith Leyster, Self-Portrait, 1633

AHST 2331-091 (53422) Understanding Art Dr. Charissa N. Terranova Summer 2023 Second Session July 5 – August 8 Tuesdays and Thursdays 1:00-5:15 pm ATC 1.305

Office Hours: By appointment Contact: <u>terranova@utdallas.edu</u>

Women in Postwar American Art 08/01/2023



Barbara Kruger, Untitled, 1997



Dame Laura Knight, Ruby Loftus Screwing a Breech Ring, 1943


- Loftus was 21 years old when she quickly become an expert in the production of breech rings.
- It took her seven months, rather than the several years it normally took.
- The British government commissioned the painting to promote women's work in factories;
- Women dominate the picture, and only one man is visible, in the background.
- It was unveiled during WW II at the 1943 Royal Academy Summer Exhibition.
- It was voted best picture of the exhibition.
- The painting was reproduced in a large-scale poster version by the WAAC [Women's Army Auxiliary Corps] for display in factories across the country.




# WE WANT WHITE TENANTS IN OUR WHITE COMMUNITY

# American Suburbia McCarthyism/McCarthy Era













The American Abstract Artists Portfolio, Title Page: Exhibition April 3-17, 1937, Squibb Galleries, New York

# Pop Art/Minimalism



Andy Warhol, 200 Campbell's Soup Cans, 1962



Donald Judd, Iron Stacks, 1965-8



Lee Krasner, The Seasons, 1957





Mary Abbot, All Green, 1954

Jay DeFeo, Untitled (Everest), from the Mountain series, 1955



UNDERTAKE TO CLARIFY THE STRANGE ART OF TODAY

LIFE'S first Round Table was on the Pursuit of Happiness (LIFE, July 12). Here the technique is applied to the question of modern painting. Held in the penthouse of the Museum of Modern Art in New York, attended by experts from both Europe and America, the meeting produced a lively debate. This report was written by Moderator Russell W. Davenport, who conducted the Round Table, with the collaboration of Winthrop Sargeant.

R about 40 years the art of painting has exhibited a variety of manifestations loosely identified in the public mind with the phrase "modern art." Originating in the works by such acknowledged masters as Cézanne, Van Gogh, Seurat and Gauguin, these manifestations made their appearance in the studios of Paris in the first decade of this century, multiplied into a kaleidoscope of new artistic styles, found a kinship with a wide variety of intellectual currents and spread throughout the world wherever artists paint. Today they confront the visitor to almost any gallery as strange distortions of reality, private nightmares, depictions of "ugly" things, human figures and objects that "look wrong," cubes and geometrical patterns that accord with nothing recognizable in nature. These "modern" works do not, of course, constitute the whole of 20th Century art. Many artists have remained quite unaffected by them, others have been influenced only during certain periods of their careers. Nevertheless it is fair to say that the "modern" movement has constituted the dominant trend in the art of our time. It has been encouraged by important institutions. It has been promoted by art dealers. And it has left behind it so much controversy and confusion that a great part of the public has become antagonistic to contemporary painting.

It is not easy to sum up the nature of modern art in a few words. Of course there are a number of oficial categories—cubism, surrealism, expressionism, futurism, abstractionism, nonobjectivism and so on. But when the layman uses the phrase he has in mind two particular characteristics which, for thim, set this art off from more conventional painting. First of all, he finds it *difficult to understand*; secondly, he often finds that it does not concern itself with the "beautiful" but with the "*ugdy*" or *the strange*. The layman is reassured to find that this kind of painting has drawn the fire of distinguished thinkers. Arnold Toynbee, for example, has declared that modern art is symptomatic of a decay in the moral values of our age; and in a well-known essay, *Art and the Obtions*. Aldoous Huxley depiced the failure of much modern art to come to grips with what he called the "great obvious truths" of human life.

Now from the point of view of our civilization as a whole, this situation certainly has its dangers. It may well be true that there has always been a gap between the most vital art of a given period and the general public. For example, the great masters of the Renaissance may not have been immediately comprehensible to the public of their day—and for that matter they are not fully comprehensible even to day to one whose education or sensitivity is deficient. Yet the gap today appears to be wider—some would even argue that it is a different kind of gap. And it leaves us with this question: How can a great civilization like ours continue to flourish without the humanizing influence of a living art that is understood and enjoyed by a large public<sup>9</sup>.

In order to shed some light on this, the editors of LFE determined to hold a Round Table on the subject in accordance with the technique already developed for the exploration of the Pursuit of Happiness (LFE, July 12). To this end they brought together a group of distinguished critics and connoisseurs and posed to them the following question: *Is* modern art, considered as a tchole, a good or a bad development? That is to say, is it something that responsible people can support, or may they neglect it as a minor and impermanent phase of calture?

It was an exciting debate documented throughout by pictures from the collection of New York's Museum of Modern Art and from other collections, many of which are reproduced herewith. The panel of 15 had traveled many miles to get there: Aldous Huxley from California; Sir Leigh Ashton and Raymond Mortimer from London; Georges Duthuit, editor of *Transition Forty-Eight*, from Paris, The "local" representatives from St. Louis, New Haven and New York were equally distinguished and are listed below. The Table was carefully balanced between those who were known to be enthusiasts for "modern art" and those who had registered serious criticisms of it. Yet even more important than the balance was the *caliber* of the participants. The object was to obtain a discussion between persons whose knowledge of art could not be questioned, irrespective of whether one might not agree with their evaluations.

There is no more complicated subject in the world than that of esthetics. To ask these gentlemen to be honest was, in effect, to ask them to disagree; indeed, as a number of them pointed out, if complete agreement could be reached concerning the important issues of art,


**TEXT CONTINUED ON PAGE 65** 

#### WHO'S WHO AT THE ROUND TABLE (OPPOSITE)

The gentleman whose head shows in the lower left-hand corner is Clement Greenberg, *avani-gende* critic. Next, going around the table clockwise, is James W. Fosburgh, Lirz adviser; Moderator Russell W. Davenport (in light suit); Meyer Schapiro, professor of fine arts, Columbia University; Georges Duthuit, editor of *Transition Forty-Eight*, Paris, France; Aldous Huxley (leaning forward), noted author; Francis Henry Taylor (behind Mr. Huxley), director of New York's Metropolitan Museum of Art; Sir Leigh Ashton (shirtslevers), director of Victoria & Alhert Museum, London, Enland; R. Kirk Askew Jr., New York art dealer; Raymond Mortimer, British critic and author; Alfred Frankfurter, editor and publisher, Art News; Theodore Greene (head in hand), professor of philosophy, Yale; James J. Sweeney, author and lecturer; Charles Sawyer, dean of School of Fine Arts, Yale; H. W. Janson, professor of art and archaeology, Washington University, St. Louis. Not shown in this picture are A. Hyatt Mayor, curator of prints, Metropolitan Museum, New York and James Thrall Soby, chairman, Department of Painting and Sculpture. Museum of Modern Art, New York.



"A *Life* Roundtable on Modern Art," October 11, 1948 "late bohemian enterprise"



Peggy Guggenheim, Art of this Century Gallery, 1942-47 – Dada and Surrealism in NYC



1 "Life's Round Table on Modern Art," Life, 11 Oct. 1948, 57. Life Magazine, © Time Warner, Inc.

"A *Life* Roundtable on Modern Art," October 11, 1948 "late bohemian enterprise"

Spring 1945 "A Problem for Critics" exhibition at the Art of This Century Gallery

Included works by: Joan Miro, Hans Hofmann, Jackson Pollock, Arshile Gorky, Adolph Gottleib and Mark Rothko


Critics met Peggy Guggenheim's challenge by naming the new movement Abstract Expressionism



Jackson Pollock. Moon Woman. 1942.



Peggy Guggenheim in the Art of This Century Gallery




From January 5 to February 6, 1943, Art of this Century Gallery hosted the first of two exhibitions with exclusively women artists.

The 31 artists in the exhibition came from sixteen countries. All but one. (Djuna Barnes) was under 30-years-old. These artists included: Xenia Cage, Leonara Carrington, Maria Elena Vieira da Silva, Eyre de Lanux, Leonor Fini, Elsa von Freytag-Loringhoven, Suzy Frelinghysen, Meraud Guinness, Anne Harvey, Valentine Hugo, Buffie Johnson, Frida Kahlo, Jacqueline Lamba (Breton), Gypsy Rose Lee, Aline Meyer Liebman, Hazel McKinley, Milena Pavlovic-Barilli, Louise Nevelson, Meret Oppenheim, Barbara (Reis) Poe Levee, Irene Rice Pereira, Kay Sage, Sonja Sekula, Gretchen Schoeninger, Esphyr Slobodinka, Hedda Sterne, Muriel Streeter, Dorothea Tanning, Sophie Taeuber-Arp, Julia Thecia, Pegeen Vail Guggenheim

# ANDRÉ BRETON QU'EST-CE QUE LE SURRÉALISME?



RENÉ HENRIQUEZ, Editeur Rue d'Edimbourg, 13, BRUXELLES



## Sigmund Freud

## unconscious

Left: André Breton, Qu'est-ce que le Surréalisme? 1934 Right: Painting on cover and below Rene Magritte, The Rape, 1934-35

Audiences of the time recognized the title as a reference to Sacher-Masoch's erotic, masochistic novel *Venus in Fur* (1870), which draws themes of female dominance.

Meret Oppenheim, Object (Lunch in Fur), 1936




Meret Oppenheim, My Nursemaid, 1936



Frida Kahlo (1907-1954) working from bed



Frida Kahlo, The Two Fridas, 1939





Selma Hayek playing Frida Kahlo in *Frida* (2002)

Frida Kahlo, The Broken Column, 1944




"A Life Roundtable on Modern Art," October 11, 1948 "late bohemian enterprise"

### WHO'S WHO AT THE ROUND TABLE (OPPOSITE)

The gentleman whose head shows in the lower left-hand corner is Clement Greenberg, avant-garde critic. Next, going around the table clockwise, is James W. Fosburgh, LIFE adviser; Moderator Russell W. Davenport (in light suit); Meyer Schapiro, professor of fine arts, Columbia University; Georges Duthuit, editor of *Transition Forty-Eight*, Paris, France; Aldous Huxley (leaning forward), noted author; Francis Henry Taylor (behind Mr. Huxley), director of New York's Metropolitan Museum of Art; Sir Leigh Ashton (shirtsleeves), director of Victoria & Albert Museum, London, Eng-


land; R. Kirk Askew Jr., New York art dealer; Raymond Mortimer, British critic and author; Alfred Frankfurter, editor and publisher, *Art News*; Theodore Greene (head in hand), professor of philosophy, Yale; James J. Sweeney, author and lecturer; Charles Sawyer, dean of School of Fine Arts, Yale; H. W. Janson, professor of art and archaeology, Washington University, St. Louis. Not shown in this picture are A. Hyatt Mayor, curator of prints, Metropolitan Museum, New York and James Thrall Soby, chairman, Department of Painting and Sculpture, Museum of Modern Art, New York.



MIRÓ: PERSON THROWING A STONE AT A BIRD



MATISSE: GOLDFISH AND SCULPTURE









ADOLF GOTTLIEB: VIGIL



STAMOS: SOUNDS IN THE ROCK



JACKSON POLLOCK: CATHEDRAL

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE



### August 8, 1949 issue of Life Magazine

 "The most powerful painter in contemporary America and the only one who promises to be a major one is a Gothic, morbid, and extreme disciple of Picasso's Cubism and Miró's post-Cubism, tinctured also with Kandinsky and surrealist inspiration. His name is Jackson Pollock." -Clement Greenberg in 1947

Jackson Pollock, Cathedral, 1947

his own. And his one remaining criterion is a kind of personal honesty, a kind of integrity—the quality that Mr. Frankfurter referred to in the word "genuine." This can be reflected in his pictures; but it may or may not lead him out to the light, and it may or may not be "comprehensible" to anyone else. Dr. Schapiro summed it up as follows:


"The creation of modern art makes certain demands upon the individual who creates it. It gives to individual experiences an enormous value beyond that of previous art. It requires, therefore, a constant searching of oneself, an attentiveness to all that one has done and a perpetual self-renewal. In the great artist the results of this highly individual attitude can be set up beside the great work of the past.

"This attitude further involves a freedom of the individual, an openness to experience—qualities that we consider important, not only in art, but in the broader field of life itself. We value these qualities in human relationships, in science, in ethical behavior; and it is through the arts to some extent that they become evident to us."

Yet this tremendous, individualistic struggle, which makes modern art so difficult for the layman, is really one of the great assets of our civilization. For it is at bottom the struggle for freedom. As several at the Table pointed out, the temptation in authoritarian societies is to settle the problem of modern art by fiat. Both Hitler and Stalin have actually done so—and in both cases the artists were ordered to return to representational painting. Said Georges Duthuit: "Several governments have made a policy of throwing modern art out the window. Our layman does not seem to disagree entirely with this. He says merely that there is some justice in objecting to modern art. But if there is some justice in the objection, is there maybe some justice in the totalitarian point of view as well? In Europe today, for artists and writers, the question is literally one of life and death. This is a time when our layman must get to the bottom of what he means."

Said Mr. Janson: "I feel that the modern artist, in insisting upon the highly individual experiences that have been emphasized today, is fulfilling a very valuable function. He is preserving something that is in great danger—namely, our ability to remain individuals."

Maybe obscurity is a high price to pay for freedom, culturally speaking. Yet it has been, and may for some time continue to be, an inescapable cultural by-product of the great process of freedom which is so critical in our time. This does not mean, on the other hand, that the artist need have no standards. He must have them: he should be free—but not irresponsible. Such, perhaps, is the ultimate answer to be derived from the deliberations of these distinguished men. And in the light of it the layman, who might otherwise be disposed to throw all modern art in the ashcan, may think twice—and may on second thought reconsider.



"This tremendous, individualistic struggle, which makes modern art so difficult for the layman, is really one of the great assets of our civilization." LIFE Oct 11, 1948 May 20th, 1950

#### OPEN LETTER TO ROLAND L. REDGOND

#### Prosident of the Matropolitan Missum of Art

#### Dear Sir:

The undersigned painters reject the menster national exhibition to be held at the Metropolitan Nanoun of Art next December, and will not submit work to its jury.

The organization of the exhibition and the choice of jurers by Francis Henry Taylor and Robert Deverly Hale, the Metropolitan's Director and the Associate Curator of American Art, does not warrant any hope that a just proportion of sivanced art will be Included.

We draw to the attention of those gentlemen the historical fact that, for roughly a hundred years, only advanced art has nade any consequential contribution to eivilization.

Mr. Taylor on more than one occasion has publicly declared his contempt for modern painting; Mr. Male, in accepting a jury motoriously hostile to advanced art, takes his place beside Mr.Taylor.

We believe that all the advanced artists of America will join us in our stand.

Jimy Ernat	Ad Reinhardt
Adolph Gottliob	Jackson Pollock
Robert Notherwell	Mark Rothke
William Desigtes	Bradley Walker Tenlin
Bans Bofmann	Willon de Mooning
Barnett Seeman	Modda Stormo
Clyfford Still	Junos Brooks
Richard Pousatto-Dort	Welden Rees
Theodores Stunes	Prite Bultman
ACCESSION OF THE REAL OF THE R	

The following sculptors support this stand.

Norbert Ferber	Seymour Lipton
David Smith	Poter Grippe
Ibran Lassaw	Theodoro Ressak
fory Callery	David Hare
Day Schnobel	Louise Bourgoois

The Irascibles, also know as the Irascible 18. The moniker was given to a group of 18 American artists who signed their names to an open letter protesting the Metropolitan Museum of Art's juried exhibition American Painting Today-1950, claiming that the selected jury was "notoriously hostile to advanced art" and had demonstrated a clear bias against "modern painting." The letter was published on the front page of the New York Times on May 22, 1950 and sparked a subsequent barrage of media attention.



#### IRASCIBLE GROUP OF ADVANCED ARTISTS LED FIGHT AGAINST SP

The advant people above, along with three eithcos, made up the group of "irac dde" attact who caused the higgers free about the 'Brimpelinas's competition (following paged). MI representastore of all parent at, they point in styles which our Pollock to estand in the l

From left, sear, they are: William de Konssing, Molph Cottlich, M Reinhards, Roddy Strener; targe sont Robert Pressny-Dat, William Ransten, Jonary Least (with here tick, Jack-

show was in he ping with an division stuni-garde article. Finands paint it sub-fiel against their official pairs of the first improvinged sublished. The a sold the Name of Street,



PORTE PRAFE (11,100) next in Bellet Baugat by Kord Knaths, astrone alledrar Souther of Plannings norm, Mass., when picked Mary lines and depert. prival form in generic on patches of hermiter

HER KINGSHOW STRUCTURE true tests by Rich Lebrase of Kite Angelen. Its ordered Incruming, he shid Contastart's (Rose as a sholly limit conting of the Crucilloctory,

# The Metropolitan and Modern Art

AMID BRICKBATS AND BOUQUETS THE MUSEUM HOLDS ITS FIRST U.S. PAINTING COMPETITION

Over the part 75 years New York's Metropolitan-Managan of Art has been the propert sufficient of art in the U.S. But in the last decade the senses. the Metropolitan has been the target of attacks from action, oritors and measurem members who have become alarmed over the maneum's weethip of art of the past to the datist and cuclation of art of the present. They complianed that cost of an average #490(1000-spirat he the manimum. such your on acquisitions, handy \$20,000 word for contemporary art, These nething accordings finally gended the Metropolitan into deviding to held a good competition of contemporary art. But this survey, instruct of hereging load learnable, has brought the announ acching but headarhes from the time is precisined the context has spring terril it spend its shaw had month.

The great competition, which officed prices but along 101, 2001, as prospects to all protestory of the U.A. where work was to be concerned and descent to an

"notioned; hough to advanced art." Proughly "I offer artists useful to one down the attackers, who were labeled "the manuality IE." Three esthereds unbacked Marin and construitions active the country, othering in minorum contribute. art pilletire and newspaper collamas.


When the show finally spend brokhats and hempsets started fring again. Conservations none added to the fact that top proveds wont to: abstract pointings infere and right. Medicuscomplement that most of the abstractions were and and analysis. Critics deployed the diseases of Episope mattern in American patienting-datase Marin, Max Wolaw, Groups O'Keelle, who ware mercilling to colonit durit works to the Mensigedman's part. More minoring with Cranding Manual. whose the party rejected. But the sublimit did costance a mandore of decompatched praintings and a few frosh tailours, mane of which appear on the officient paper. And almost storycloubly com-





The Irascibles were photographed and appeared in the January 15, 1951 issue of LIFE magazine.

Theodoros Stamos, Jimmy Ernst, Barnett Newman, James Brooks, Mark Rothko, Richard Pousette-Dart, William Baziotes, Jackson Pollock, Clyfford Still, Robert Motherwell, Bradley Walker Tomlin, Willem de Kooning, Adolph Gottlieb, Ad Reinhardt, Hedda Sterne



## WOMEN OF ABSTRACT EXPRESSIONISM

Lee Krasner, Elaine de Kooning, Grace Hartigan, Joan Mitchell, and Helen Frankenthaler: Five Painters and the Movement That Changed Modern Art

WOMEN

NINTH

**STREET** 

### MARY GABRIEL

AUTHOR OF LOVE AND CAPITAL, FINALIST FOR THE PULITZER PRIZE

2018






Joan Mitchell, Helen Frankenthaler, and Grace Hartigan at the opening of Frankenthaler's solo exhibition at the Tibor de Nagy Gallery, New York, February 12, 1957. Burt Glinn/Magnum Photos

Clockwise from top left: Sonia Gechtoff in studio ca. 1961-62, Mary Abbott in studio ca. 1949–50, Perle Fine in studio in 1959, Judith Godwin in 1977, Deborah Remington, Helen Frankenthaler in 1956

Corner of Hedda Sterne's studio

THE HEDDA STERNE FAN CLUB

"I see myself as a well-working lens, a perceiver of something that exists independently of me: don't look at me, look at what I've found." Hedda Stern



### Hedda Sterne, *Machine*, 1949, oil on canvas

By 1945, Hedda Sterne's work began to reflect her immediate surroundings, inspired by her feeling that "the United States was more surrealist, more extraordinary, than anything imagined by the Surrealists." Between the late 1940s and early 1950s, Hedda Sterne began to focus on the anthropomorphic qualities of machinery, from rural farm equipment in Vermont, to massive contraction cranes in New York. As she would later recall: "I had a feeling that machines are unconscious self-portraits of people's psyches: the grasping, the wanting, the aggression that's in a machine."



Hedda Sterne, Machine 5, 1950

anthropographs



Elaine and Willem de Kooning




Elaine de Kooning, Untitled # 16, 1948



Elaine de Kooning, Man in a Whirl, 1957





Left: Elaine de Kooning, John F. Kennedy, 1963 Above and Right: Elaine de Kooning, Sketches of John F. Kennedy, 1963





Elaine de Kooning, *The Burghers of Amsterdam Avenue*, 1963 It depicts nine young men, sitting and standing in a variety of poses, each with a distinct expression.








Elaine de Kooning, The Burghers of Amsterdam Avenue, 1963

De Kooning's painting is loosely modeled after two Dutch paintings, both titled *The Governors of the Kloveniersdoelen*, one executed by Govert Flinck (bottom left) (1615-1660) in 1642 and the other by Bartholomeus van der Helst (1613-1670) (top left) in 1655.



Willem de Kooning, Pink Angels, c. 1945 oil and charcoal on canvas, 52 x 40 inches



Willem de Kooning, Woman I, 1950-52 1952. Oil and metallic paint on canvas, 6' 3 7/8" x 58"


"Beauty becomes petulant to me. I like the grotesque. It's more joyous."

De Kooning once summarized the history of female representations as "the idol, the Venus, the nude."

In 1953, The Museum of Modern Art acquired a new painting, De Kooning's Woman 1, which prompted its collection committee to state: "The Committee found the picture quite frightening, but felt that it had intense vitality and liked the quality of the color."



Willem de Kooning, Gotham News, 1955



In Gotham News, an abstract urban landscape, he dragged charcoal through wet paint, "churning up the surface to create a heated atmosphere that pulsates with an intense metropolitan heat." The title Gotham News gives us a reference point for interpretation. "Gotham" refers to the city in the Batman comics, which in turn referred to New York, where de Kooning lived. "News" perhaps refers to the newsprint seen on the lower left and the top center of the canvas. The artist had been using newspaper to help the paint to dry, and in that process some of the print came off. He liked the effect and left it.



Lee Krasner in her studio Aug. 30, 1956, two weeks after husband Jackson Pollock's death.

Lee Krasner in her studio. Photo: Hans Namuth.



Lee Krasner, Blue and Black, 1951-53

### all-over compositions



Lee Krasner, Celebration, 1959-60



Lee Krasner, Gaea, 1966

Lee Krasner, Gaea, 1966






Lee Krasner, Imperative, 1976



Jackson Pollock and Lee Krasner



Jackson Pollock, Cathedral, 1947







Jackson Pollock painting through glass



Grace Hartigan (1922-2008)



Grace Hartigan, The Persian Jacket, 1952 57 1/2 x 48"




Grace Hartigan, Grand Street Brides, 1954 72 9/16 × 102 3/8in.

#### HELEN FRANKENTHALER

### SOAK-STAIN TECHNIQUE

Soak-stain technique is the act of staining canvases! This method of collapsing color into canvas by manipulating thinned acrylic washes into the unprimed cotton fabric had an immediate impact on Morris Louis, who would translate it into his own idiom in a series of poured paintings created by gravity-pulled streams of luminescent color.


Helen Frankenthaler (1928-2011) in her studio



A line, color, shapes, spaces, all do one thing for and within themselves, and yet do something else, in relation to everything that is going on within the four sides [of the canvas]. A line is a line, but [also] is a color. . . . It does this here, but that there. The canvas surface is flat and yet the space extends for miles. What a lie, what trickery—how beautiful is the very idea of painting. —Helen Frankenthaler



Helen Frankenthaler, Mountains and Sea, 1952



She was the first American painter after Jackson Pollock to see the implications of the color staining of raw canvas to create an integration of color and ground in which foreground and background cease to exist.

http://www.lisicontemporaryart.com/frankenthaler/

Helen Frankenthaler, Interior Landscape, 1964



Helen Frankenthaler, Magic Carpet, 1964



Joan Mitchell, Hemlock, 1956



Joan Mitchell, Helen Frankenthaler, and Grace Hartigan at the opening of Frankenthaler's solo exhibition at the Tibor de Nagy Gallery, New York, February 12, 1957.



The title derives from a passage in a 1916 Wallace Stevens poem, Domination of Black, which contains several references to hemlock, including: "Out of the window, / I saw how the planets gathered / Like the leaves themselves / Turning in the wind. / I saw how the night came, / Came striding like the color of the / heavy hemlocks. . ."

Joan Mitchell, Hemlock, 1956



# Elizabeth Catlett (1915-2012)







Catlett was moved by "black beauty, not the female nudes of the European artists, but the women of the African wood carvers and the pre Hispanic stone carvers."

Elizabeth Catlett, Seated Woman, 1962

Elizabeth Catlett, Homage to My Young Black Sisters, 1968



Through personal choice and necessity, I never became involved with a group of artists. I don't belong to any movement. Of course, there is no mistake that the times I was living in had influence on me. We pool our energies with other creative people. I feel that, say, if some of our people weren't around where sparks fly, maybe I would not have come to this. That must be. My work is bound to be related to that of others.


But you know...I wouldn't feel in the right place if I was in the stream of Abstract Expressionism. Now I think they are marvelous. I love their art, and I love their energy. Nevertheless I had to go my own way. Yes, I believe artists reflect their time, but they have to stand on their own two feet...not on someone else's. I chose at quite an early age to be a soloist. Because I realized that the rhythms of people are different. Consequently, I wouldn't assume to impose that on somebody else. And by the same token, I had to make my decisions, I had to make my moves. Everything came back to me.

-- Louise Nevelson


Louise Nevelson (1889-1988)



Left: Louise Nevelson, Model for Atmosphere and Environment X, 1968 Black-painted Cor-Ten steel Right: Louise Nevelson, Atmosphere and Environment X, 1969-70 Cor-Ten steel



# Minimalism Postminimalism






Donald Judd, Untitled, 1966

Donald Judd, Untitled, 1966

"What is important to me is not geometrical shape per se, or color per se, but to make a relationship between shape and color which feels to me like my experience. To make what feels to me like reality." -- Anne Truitt



Anne Truitt in her Twining Court studio, Washington, DC, 1962

> Minimalism Postminimalism

Anne Truitt, Valley Forge, 1963 Acrylic paint on wood




Eva Hesse (1936-1970)

Minimalism Postminimalism

I didn't even do much sculpture in school and once I started out there wasn't anything traditional about my pieces. I don't know if I am completely out of the tradition. I know art history and I know what I believe in. I know where I come from and who I am related to or the work that I have looked at and I am really personally moved by and feel close to or am connected or attached to. But I feel so strongly that the only art is the art of the artist personally and found out as much as possible for himself and by himself. So I am aware of my connectiveness – it is impossible to be isolated completely – but my interest is in solely finding my own way. I don't mind being miles from everybody else...I don't mind staying alone. I think it is important. The best artists are those who have stood alone and who can be separated from whatever movements have been made about them.


-- Eva Hesse







Eva Hesse, Hang Up, 1966


Acrylic on cloth over wood; acrylic on cord over steel tube






Eva Hesse, Notebook and Sketches, 1966






Eva Hesse, Legs on a Walking Ball, 1965, varnish, tempera, enamel, cord, metal, papier-caché, unknown modeling compound, particle board, wood




'...clean and clear – but crazy like machines...'

Made in studio space located in an abandoned textile factory in Kettwig an der Ruhr, Germany. The old factory still contained machine parts, tools and materials from its previous use and the angular forms of these disused machines and tools served as inspiration for Hesse's mechanical drawings and paintings. <u>http://artnews.org/hauserwirthlondon/?exi=36604</u>



Eva Hesse, Study for or after Legs of a Walking Ball, 1965



Tris Mean. Science, 1987-58, Lance street 42 - 421, hearspillene 727 discounts: The Science College

coast are the slight changes and contactors they canatis. The receasing of near convergencestar story, astarofferenatial advertants are in to be douball in three used had appellicant variations, A conmust asher part with at a trial, account a high prefactors alsolvy concepting while he will see. If he is Annihus with the attin's radius nork and also add the groend art arres, he sold have been when your representation which per munity kalified by the sprang of the show. If the show is successful three repetitations are satisfied, but not caulify in the next reported. That is, these is no observed of memories and it is previatly this corporat that makes the art second option. A locality in orderespects the order with ded. "Of course he did thus god to that nonit was a bigital programming from his and/or other actor prevano work." This retrigention must also partness much suchdarities, health in the north and in opened for having uses in It neight make citrater when I are saying to report is by applying it. Eva Hear's reasons show at Fishbach series for resourced inten-epdetermine any second track and second efforters analysis tenuil multiture. Her work of the pass two tenes all have been head of the invite the and knowing downs. this diseases next of sincle neuropol in secdeal and harboned even. Diversing allow depering, you alter non, imiles, circles, randes No given was the reliandancy of the last derived of Eus. Newsy share one could not shink of horwithout also reinding of circles. Great as this authoritory was knowned, so not if her deparinto some allies, indired no into of her entries. some ables. Her work also had a high imperof confidences. Such was the professionary of He circle that was occuluated the other roles. paper counter improferes of her works the cittles were alward arranged on terrangelar

Munishis (and in simplify), in other prophil' much die talle som andergoing counders reardirectations and realizations. It was not vice much of a surgifice that Henry totald try a color and make it has one with her obviou film analysism as the item had keeply have mails of hates validant, so in the first value walder values next nexts discipli stand bals in the restord value of a value and you all seconds inside its such a way to to make the interi walk of the other phates of cathles, only much like the drawings. she had been itsing, but the outer wills were signers. We then did another rules with plants takes to place of the soldier. The methods philed a new dimension to her work - a clier of and) harminality. These two non-mainting same from aging heart append of her sould reporter and light - captured her imagination and the set off in their parasit. New root her disarrings as self as her man recent includings are squares and measures, design due interaction remain her distinction such. Of course there is much more on her such

share done live etcolory diargo, has when I have netwined should be enough to Maintan the andre that one of the require her correct door is an uncorrected in clear her work satisfies our prestrains, her is a surprising and malarily meproduced way. The meaning of her free rule is not to be based to the raile keep in indation, has so he columns to fair particular and attacmost work, and is in relation to the total of where writers. Because of the high-degree of redustancy or her work, the advancement of tained from our piece to another to provide hose and the estuaring high. That is, her scottecommunicate with a high lingers of polishillity. Perhaps so should place this periodice means ing of mounting widow the convent of the pricand problem of meaning. Passible? has show after dree brock of statisting to the visual arts. arranged from "higher" in "honer" days and a conclusion of incompanyations, in many system of south yok, and previous graphical description ("dros conduced with "here", Early of sizes doors area of interpretation has its convergencing sitbut of theremulated, receipted by descent nation, and particular principle of interprets nor. The object of the scendings of it " persons assauley or contrast, considering the world of symbolical colors"; the object of the trace provides of a "secondary or contrasting" editors nearthic constituting the would of langer, meets and dispates", and the signs of the pre-issues graphical is "primery or carterial adaptit man ter", tider lackal or expensional, "constant ing the model of private smalle". The reprise areas accounty for the pre-constiguint's pra-neal experiment, "Resultantly with slipes and porters" for incompreparat. "Incodedge of Sc. many maxim familiarity and specify fermes and concepts,", and he dis introductul. "gaphene minution doublastic with the surge-

and residencies of the human most? combinated

by present perchangy and "Hideschung"

Finally, the connective principle of paragram

size sevenges is a lassed-size of the house of

making. In the case of according white is model is the "memory of coloured accuration or

available to proved the inter the maintee in

whath, wheley varying harmonical conditions, on

positial residencies of the human mind some or present by approxim theses and reservant." Not

accorptions what a required is a haterbeigt

of the "Servers of opper" to which the thread

and concepts of these are expressed by objects

and events, for pre-transportational description on events in housing of the Winners of exists', in which the eligible and events of the pre-table comparison of the second second by format. These of the elification of arbitrary in Pranchical Second Second Second Second Second Second elification of the second second second difference on the second second second second second difference on the second second second second second second difference on the second se

Paradilic impacts a today of sections to comtrailer separations meaning theorem from. The time of annualing T with the antibility is concerned which forms hard. Match consequences at its obtained instantial of adaptic barriers. To many Risk the mediatity tamases that is table obtained tensis, through consequence of the table of the table paradility of consequences of the new relations the paradility of consequences. If we are followed to engine to obtain the other of the new relation on sequence tensis and table of the new relation on severe p 1 strangly under the new relation on the new reservation.

To use Passidity's already resistor sullies, I scould call this additional usy of intergeneties to analyze and publics of wrongs sole of our

unding still dending. The corresponding states of incomposition would be associated timed excession. The operated represents has disintegration would be Hartley Southerst if pattern and configurations of elements The corresponding correction principle in this new case nerally he day Money of Language Day sight once the summer is which, under cartility instantal conditions from wave represent in de analgement of research. It will be tertood due I as equating form and painteen. It doubt be added that show here or partners are two incompleted here as sense hing sectors after static has an also onlying in time. In the balance note only process could as well be called not signs." The airmage is a disarrie is combining segment of meanwhile rooms doublend in these spectrally what is called a time write by

## Naie L'Nalar Woor, Questin, MIT Iron.

the carbon area

Landrage, 1988, p.45.

Mari, p. 95.
Mari, p. 95.
Mari, p. 96.
Marin, Chinama, The Rhoust Fair of Human Margo: Chevrolic and Patrix, 1998, p. 35.
L. Minara, "Bellemation, Machines, and Marin: an Human Strategy, 1998, p. 36.
Marine, Maring and Marines, P. J. Chevron and K. 20, Neurop. vol., Stanas. and Schwares. 1957, p. 35.
Davies Prantility, Massing is the Transf. Str. 95.

1. Station Water, Colorado, p.B.

Statification (2), 1968. Second





Eva Hesse, Schema, 1967-68 cast latex with moveable elements

Sept-Oct-1967 male sheet 1/4 > burded ~ This sheet 10 to 15 conto semi - Spheres. 56 Costo layers box botton open. 000 Partie white . ()7)()6 Sequare ft. high spines .. 1/2 rulber ball a end with solicom seal, general electrice) - from mober 5. - spheres semi. Spheres an moreable List. ~ cast rubber sheet, which sits ~ 1 ft. high Table

Hesse defined the word 'schema' as "synopsis, outline, diagram. general type, essential form, conception of what is common to all members of a class." While the evenly-spaced, balanced grid of her eponymous sculpture (fig.9) may be read in terms of Hesse's definition, her interest in 'diagram' and 'essential form' are also expressed in the meticulous planning and rigor with which she approached its design, including her choice of material.


-- Jeffrey Saletnik

Eva Hesse, Study for Schema,1967



"The materials I use are really casting materials, but I don't want to use them as casting materials. I want to use them directly, eliminating making molds and casts ... I am interested in the process, a very direct kind of connection." -- Eva Hesse

Eva Hesse, Test Pieces, 1967



Eva Hesse sculpture exhibition gallery shot—foreground, "Schema," 1967–68, latex, Philadelphia Museum of Art, and in the background "Sans II," 1968, polyester resin and fiberglass



Eva Hesse, Sequel, 1967-68

Latex, pigment, and cheesecloth




By allowing the components of the sculpture to be arranged in various configurations, Hesse purposely left the precise allusions of these suggestive forms ambiguous, inviting our associations to guide our experience of the work and its meaning. The irregular surfaces of the elements are typical of "antiform" or "process" art.

https://www.philamuseum.org/collections/permanent/85791.html

Eva Hesse, Repetition Nineteen III, 1968

Fiberglass and polyester resin, nineteen units



Eva Hesse, Accession II, 1969

Eva Hesse, Untitled, Rope Piece, 1969-70





I do not know if the truth that I have told will benefit the world in any way. I managed to do it at great cost to myself and perhaps to others. It is hard to go against the tide of one's time, milieu, and position. But at least I tried to reflect innocently the twentieth century and my feelings and perceptions as a girl and as a women. Not that I felt they were all that different from men's.

I did this at the expense of untold humiliations, but at least after my fashion I told the truth as I perceived it, and considering the way one is bombarded by reality, did the best and most honest art of which I was capable.

I always was much more truthful and courageous on canvas.

-- Alice Neel

Alice Neel (1900-1984)



Alice Neel, Pregnant Maria, 1964



Edouard Manet, Olympia, 1863

Alice Neel, Pregnant Maria, 1964



Florine Stettheimer, A Model (Nude Self-Portrait), 1915

Alice Neel, Pregnant Maria, 1964 https://www.metmuseum.org/exhibitions/listings/2021/alice-neel